

①

125c, Lecture Three : 4/10/16

Last time: density operators.

- $\hat{\rho} = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$
- Given a basis $\{|\phi_a\rangle\}$,
$$\rho_{ab} = \langle\phi_a|\hat{\rho}|\phi_b\rangle.$$
- Hermitian: $\hat{\rho}^+ = \hat{\rho}$
- $\text{Tr } \hat{\rho} = 1.$

Also:

- $\hat{\rho}$ is a positive operator:
- $$\forall |\psi\rangle, \quad \langle\psi|\hat{\rho}|\psi\rangle \geq 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Check: } \langle\psi|\hat{\rho}|\psi\rangle &= \sum_i p_i \langle\psi|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|\psi\rangle \\
 &= \sum_i p_i |\langle\psi|\psi_i\rangle|^2 \\
 &\geq 0. \quad \checkmark
 \end{aligned}$$

(2)

- Expectation values of operators in a mixed state:

(do last trick w/ complete set of states, but backwards.)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rangle_p &= \sum_i p_i \langle \psi_i | \hat{\mathcal{O}} | \psi_i \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{i,a} p_i \langle \psi_i | \hat{\mathcal{O}} | \phi_a \times \phi_a | \psi_i \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{i,a} p_i \underbrace{\langle \phi_a | \psi_i \rangle}_{\text{arrow}} \underbrace{\langle \psi_i | \hat{\mathcal{O}} | \phi_a \rangle}_{\text{arrow}} \\
 &= \sum_a \langle \phi_a | \hat{p} \hat{\mathcal{O}} | \phi_a \rangle \\
 &= \text{Tr}(\hat{p} \hat{\mathcal{O}}) .
 \end{aligned}$$

The expectation value of any operator $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ in the mixed state defined by \hat{p} is just the trace of $\hat{p} \hat{\mathcal{O}}$.

Why do we describe mixed states using

(3)

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|?$$

Classically: $(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) \in \Gamma$, phase space.

Mixture = distribution function

$$f(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+,$$

$$\int f(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) dp dq = 1.$$

We might conjecture a "quantum distribution function," $F(|\psi\rangle) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$.

(e.g. for a qubit, a function on the Bloch sphere.)

But we don't!

That would be much more data
than $\hat{\rho}$. # of parameters = ∞ .

whereas, for $\dim \mathcal{H} = d$, ρ_{ij} has

$2d^2 - d^2 - 1 = \boxed{d^2 - 1}$ real parameters.
 $\begin{matrix} \Phi & T & Q \\ d \times d & \text{Hermitian} & \text{Tr} = 1 \end{matrix}$
complex matrix

Answer: we use \hat{p} , not $F(\psi)$, because
that's all we need to predict
measurement outcomes. ④

E.g. what's the probability in \hat{p} to
measure \hat{X} and obtain answer $|x_0\rangle$?

$$\text{Pure state: } p_{\psi}(x_0) = \langle \psi | \hat{\Pi}_{x_0} | \psi \rangle \quad (\hat{\Pi}_{x_0} = |x_0\rangle\langle x_0|)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Mixed state: } p_{\hat{p}}(x_0) &= \sum_i p_i p_{\psi_i}(x_0) \\ &= \sum_i p_i \langle \psi_i | \hat{\Pi}_{x_0} | \psi_i \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{\Pi}_{x_0} \rangle_{\hat{p}} \\ &= \text{Tr}(\hat{p} \hat{\Pi}_{x_0}).\end{aligned}$$

The density matrix is all we need to calculate expectation values, and measurement probabilities are expectation values of projection operators.

$\therefore \hat{p}$ is all we need to describe measurements.

But this hides some subtleties.

(5)

E.g. we can construct $\hat{\rho}$ from $\{p_i, n_i\}$,
but not vice-versa.

Consider $\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{2}|0\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{1}{2}|1\rangle\langle 1|$.

$$\text{Use } |0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+\rangle + |-\rangle),$$

$$|1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+\rangle - |-\rangle).$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Then } \hat{\rho} &= \frac{1}{4} \left[|+\rangle\langle +| + |-\rangle\langle -| + |+\rangle\langle -| + |-\rangle\langle +| \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \left[|+\rangle\langle +| - |-\rangle\langle -| - |+\rangle\langle -| + |-\rangle\langle +| \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} |+\rangle\langle +| + \frac{1}{2} |-\rangle\langle -|.\end{aligned}$$

Same density operator, different
"statistical mixture."

$\hat{\rho}$ tells us measurement outcomes, but
not which particular states the
mixture was originally made from.

Reduced Density Matrices

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline A & B \\ \hline \end{array}$$

(6)

Consider a composite system $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$,

$$\text{and a pure state } |\Psi\rangle = \sum_{ab} c_{ab} |\phi_a\rangle |\eta_b\rangle.$$

Even though $|\Psi\rangle$ is pure, entanglement means there is no pure state for subsystem A (or B). Rather, it is described by a density operator.

First, note that any state $|\beta\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_B$ defines a map

$${}_{\mathcal{H}_B} \langle \beta : \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_A.$$

E.g.

$$\langle \beta | \Psi \rangle = \sum_a \left(\sum_b c_{ab} \langle \beta | \eta_b \rangle \right) |\phi_a\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A.$$

(Keep wits about you to remember what Hilbert space each vector lives in.)

Then define **partial trace** of an operator:

$$\text{Tr}_B \hat{\sigma} = \sum_b \langle \eta_b | \hat{\sigma} | \eta_b \rangle \in L(\mathcal{H}_A).$$

Lots of notation, but everything basically means what it looks like.

Reduced density matrix for A is the partial trace of $\hat{\rho}$ over B. ⑦

$$\hat{\rho}_A = \text{Tr}_B \hat{\rho}.$$

($\hat{\rho}$ itself might be
pure, $\hat{\rho} = | \Psi \rangle \langle \Psi |$,
or mixed, $\hat{\rho} = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|$.)

Examples.

$$① \quad |\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_A |0\rangle_B + |1\rangle_A |1\rangle_B) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho} &= |\Psi\rangle \langle \Psi| = \frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)(\langle 00| + \langle 11|) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle \langle 00| + |00\rangle \langle 11| + |11\rangle \langle 00| + |11\rangle \langle 11|). \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \hat{\rho}_A = \text{Tr}_B \hat{\rho} = \sum_b \sum_{m_b} \langle m_b | \hat{\rho} | m_b \rangle_B \quad m_b \in \{|0\rangle_B, |1\rangle_B\}$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\rho} | 0 \rangle_B + \langle 1 | \hat{\rho} | 1 \rangle_B$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(|0\rangle_A \langle 0| + |0\rangle_A \langle 1| + |1\rangle_A \langle 0| + |1\rangle_A \langle 1|)$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_A + |1\rangle_A) \right] \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\langle 0| + \langle 1|) \right]$$

\rightarrow unmixed!

What we expect, because original state $|\Psi\rangle$ was unentangled.

Example ②: pure but entangled initial state. ⑧

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_A|0\rangle_B + |1\rangle_A|1\rangle_B) \\ \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle).$$

$$\hat{P} = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi| = \frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle\langle 00| + |00\rangle\langle 11| + |11\rangle\langle 00| + |11\rangle\langle 11|)$$

$$\hat{P}_A = \text{Tr}_B \hat{P} = \sum_B \langle 0 | \hat{P} | 0 \rangle_B + \sum_B \langle 1 | \hat{P} | 1 \rangle_B \\ = \frac{1}{2}(|0\rangle_A\langle 0| + |1\rangle_A\langle 1|). \quad \checkmark$$

A mixed state! Can't be written

as $\hat{\rho}_A = |\psi_A\rangle\langle\psi_A|$ for any $|\psi_A\rangle$. Unsurprisingly again, since original $|\Psi\rangle$ was entangled.

Purification: Given $\hat{\rho}_A \in L(\mathcal{H}_A)$, there exist an \mathcal{H}_B and $|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ s.t.

$$\hat{\rho}_A = \text{Tr}_B |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|.$$

Proof: pretty obvious. Write $\hat{\rho}_A = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$.

Then let $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |\psi_i\rangle_A |\eta_i\rangle_B$ for as many orthonormal states $\{|\eta_i\rangle_B\}$ as needed.

Schmidt Decomposition

(9)

Consider a pure state

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{ab} c_{ab} |\phi_a\rangle |\eta_b\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B.$$

Reduced density matrix for A: $\hat{\rho}_A = \text{Tr}_B |\Psi\rangle \langle \Psi|$.

Can always diagonalize:

$$\exists \{|\sigma_a^{(A)}\rangle\} \in \mathcal{H}_A \text{ s.t. } \langle \sigma_a^{(A)} | \hat{\rho}_A | \sigma_b^{(A)} \rangle = p_a \delta_{ab}.$$

Let "Schmidt number" $k = \# \text{ of nonzero eigenvalues } p_a$.

Then $\sum_{a'} |\sigma_{a'}^{(A)} \times \sigma_{a'}^{(A)}| = \mathbb{1}_A$, so

$$|\phi_a\rangle = \sum_{a'} \langle \sigma_{a'}^{(A)} | \phi_a \rangle |\sigma_{a'}^{(A)} \rangle.$$

We can therefore expand $|\Psi\rangle$ in the

$$\text{new basis: } |\Psi\rangle = \sum_{aa'b} c_{ab} \langle \sigma_{a'}^{(A)} | \phi_a \rangle |\sigma_{a'}^{(A)} \rangle |\eta_b\rangle$$

Now define $|\sigma_{a'}^{(B)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_{a'}}} \sum_{ab} c_{ab} \langle \sigma_{a'}^{(A)} | \phi_a \rangle |\eta_b\rangle$

$$\Rightarrow |\Psi\rangle = \sum_{a'=1}^k \sqrt{p_{a'}} |\sigma_{a'}^{(A)}\rangle |\sigma_{a'}^{(B)}\rangle$$

Schmidt decomposition

Easy to check orthonormality: $\langle \sigma_{a'}^{(B)} | \sigma_{b'}^{(B)} \rangle = \delta_{a'b'}$, (16)

Thus: we have orthonormal "Schmidt Bases"

$$\{ |\sigma_{a'}^{(A)}\rangle \} \in \mathcal{H}_A, \quad \{ |\sigma_{a'}^{(B)}\rangle \} \in \mathcal{H}_B,$$

such that our state $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{ab} \psi_{ab} |\phi_a\rangle |\eta_b\rangle$

$$\text{becomes "diagonal," } |\Psi\rangle = \sum_{a=1}^k \sqrt{p_{a'}} |\sigma_{a'}^{(A)}\rangle |\sigma_{a'}^{(B)}\rangle.$$

[Strictly speaking, bases for subspaces of \mathcal{H}_A & \mathcal{H}_B , since there may not be enough Schmidt vectors to span the spaces.]

Of course Schmidt basis is adapted to $|\Psi\rangle$, not universal.

$$\text{In Schmidt basis, } \hat{\rho}_A = \sum_{a=1}^k p_{a'} |\sigma_{a'}^{(A)} \times \sigma_{a'}^{(A)}|,$$

$$\hat{\rho}_B = \sum_{a=1}^k p_{a'} |\sigma_{a'}^{(B)} \times \sigma_{a'}^{(B)}|.$$

Note Schmidt number $k \leq \min(\dim \mathcal{H}_A, \dim \mathcal{H}_B)$.

You can't have more entanglement than the dimensionality of the smaller Hilbert space.