

## 1 Traces, Traces Everywhere (5 points)

(a.) Okay, so the time evolved state is given by,

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = \hat{U}(t)\hat{\rho}(0)\hat{U}^\dagger(t), \quad (1)$$

from which we can compute  $\hat{\rho}^n(t)$  using the fact that  $\hat{U}$  is unitary *i.e.*  $\hat{U}(t)\hat{U}^\dagger(t) = \hat{U}^\dagger(t)\hat{U}(t) = \hat{\mathbb{I}}$ ,

$$\hat{\rho}^n(t) = \hat{U}(t)\hat{\rho}^n(0)\hat{U}^\dagger(t). \quad (2)$$

Now we trace over this and use the cyclic property of trace  $\text{Tr}(ABC) = \text{Tr}(CAB) = \text{Tr}(BCA)$  to get,

$$\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^n(t)) = \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^n(0)) = \sum_i \lambda_i^n, \quad (3)$$

where  $\{\lambda_i\}$  is the set of eigenvalues of  $\hat{\rho}(0)$ .

(b.) While one can compute the asked traces and determinant explicitly by considering a generic qubit state and its corresponding  $2 \times 2$  density operator, we will follow a much compact approach, harnessing properties of traces. In what follows, denote  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  to be the eigenvalues of the density matrix of the qubit state  $\hat{\rho}$ .

It is easily seen that,

$$\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^n) = \lambda_1^n + \lambda_2^n. \quad (4)$$

In particular we have  $\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$  since the density operator has unit trace and  $\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^2) = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2$ . Also, one can notice that  $\det(\rho) = \lambda_1\lambda_2$ . Okay, now let's use some kindergarten arithmetic:  $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)^2 = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + 2\lambda_1\lambda_2$ , which in our context gives us the determinant we are looking for,

$$\det(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} (\text{Tr}^2(\hat{\rho}) - \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^2)) = \frac{1}{2} (\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}) - \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^2)). \quad (5)$$

Now, consider  $\lambda_1^3 + \lambda_2^3 = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1\lambda_2)$ , from which one can directly write,

$$\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^3) = \text{Tr}(\rho) (\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^2) - \det(\rho)) = \text{Tr}(\rho) \left( \frac{3}{2} \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}^2) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\hat{\rho}) \right) \quad (6)$$

Of course,  $\text{Tr} \hat{\rho} = 1$  everywhere above. If you feel like, you can also compute these by considering a generic qubit state  $\hat{\rho} = \hat{\mathbb{I}}/2 + \vec{a} \cdot \hat{\sigma}/2$  and find  $\hat{\rho}^2$  and  $\hat{\rho}^3$  and find the traces and determinant asked for.

## 2 More on von Neumann Entropy (5 points)

(a.) This one can be argued simply by observing the fact that a unitary transformation of  $\hat{\rho}$  simply corresponds to a global basis change and eigenvalues of a matrix are left invariant under a basis change/unitary transformation and hence, the von Neumann entropy, which can

be constructed using only the eigenvalues of  $\hat{\rho}$  only is invariant too.

(b.) Onto proving concavity now. First, it is important to mention that, in general,  $\hat{\rho}$ ,  $\hat{\rho}_1$  and  $\hat{\rho}_2$  will not commute and hence will *not* be simultaneously diagonalizable. Let us work in the eigenbasis of  $\hat{\rho}$  which we label  $|\rho_m\rangle$  with corresponding eigenvalue  $\rho_m$  (We will use single subscripts for eigen properties and double indices will be used to denote matrix elements.) The von Neumann entropy of  $\hat{\rho}$  is simply given by,

$$S(\hat{\rho}) = \sum_m -\rho_m \log(\rho_m) \equiv \sum_m s(\rho_m), \quad (7)$$

where the function  $s(x) = -x \log(x)$ . This is a concave in the domain  $[0, 1]$  since its second derivative  $f'' \leq 0$  and hence it satisfies a concavity condition, for any  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$

$$s((1 - \alpha)x + \alpha y) \geq (1 - \alpha)s(x) + \alpha s(y). \quad (8)$$

We will use this property heavily in this proof. Notice how the eigenvalue  $\rho_m$  of  $\hat{\rho}$  can be written as,

$$\rho_m = \langle \rho_m | \hat{\rho} | \rho_m \rangle = p_1 \langle \rho_m | \hat{\rho}_1 | \rho_m \rangle + p_2 \langle \rho_m | \hat{\rho}_2 | \rho_m \rangle, \quad (9)$$

where we used  $\hat{\rho} = p_1 \hat{\rho}_1 + p_2 \hat{\rho}_2$  as given in the question. Now since each of the terms in the summand of Eq. (7) is concave, we can use the concavity property of Eq. (8) to write,

$$s(\rho_m) \geq p_1 s(\langle \rho_m | \hat{\rho}_1 | \rho_m \rangle) + p_2 s(\langle \rho_m | \hat{\rho}_2 | \rho_m \rangle), \quad (10)$$

and a simple sum over  $m$  reads,

$$S(\hat{\rho}) \geq p_1 \sum_m s(\langle \rho_m | \hat{\rho}_1 | \rho_m \rangle) + p_2 \sum_m s(\langle \rho_m | \hat{\rho}_2 | \rho_m \rangle). \quad (11)$$

Now life would have been nice and simple had  $\hat{\rho}_1$  and  $\hat{\rho}_2$  been diagonal in the  $|\rho_m\rangle$  (eigenbasis of  $\hat{\rho}$ ) basis and we could have identified the sums on the right side of Eq. (11) as the von Neumann entropies  $S(\hat{\rho}_1)$  and  $S(\hat{\rho}_2)$ , but well, in general  $s(\langle \rho_m | \hat{\rho}_1 | \rho_m \rangle)$  and  $s(\langle \rho_m | \hat{\rho}_2 | \rho_m \rangle)$  are *not* the eigenvalues of  $\hat{\rho}_1$  and  $\hat{\rho}_2$ , but just the diagonal entries of the density matrices of  $\hat{\rho}_1$  and  $\hat{\rho}_2$  in the  $\{|\rho_m\rangle\}$  basis. Let us now try and make contact with the von Neumann entropies of  $\hat{\rho}_1$  and  $\hat{\rho}_2$  using these diagonal entries.

Let me state and prove a general result that we will end up needing. We will now show that,

$$-\sum_n \lambda_{nn} \log(\lambda_{nn}) \geq S(\hat{\rho}), \quad (12)$$

where  $\hat{\rho}$  is any density operator and  $\lambda_{nn}$  are its diagonal entries in *any* basis, not necessarily its eigenbasis (The inequality will become an equality when we work in the eigenbasis). Let us write  $\hat{\rho}$  in both its eigenbasis  $\{|\rho_m\rangle\}$  and some other basis  $\{|\lambda_n\rangle\}$ ,

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_m \rho_m |\rho_m\rangle \langle \rho_m| = \sum_{n,n'} \lambda_{nn'} |\lambda_{n'}\rangle \langle \lambda_n|. \quad (13)$$

To connect these two and be able to write the diagonal entries  $\lambda_{nn}$  in terms of the eigenvalues  $\rho_m$ , let's introduce two complete set of states as follows,

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_m \rho_m \sum_{n,n'} |\lambda_n\rangle \langle \lambda_n| (|\rho_m\rangle \langle \rho_m|) |\lambda_{n'}\rangle \langle \lambda_{n'}|, \quad (14)$$

from which we can read the diagonal entries  $\lambda_{nn}$  simply,

$$\lambda_{nn} = \sum_m \rho_m |\langle \lambda_n | \rho_m \rangle|^2 . \quad (15)$$

These overlaps are expansion coefficients of eigenstates  $|\rho_m\rangle$  in the  $\{|\lambda_n\rangle\}$  basis and one can easily verify they satisfy,

$$\sum_n |\langle \lambda_n | \rho_m \rangle|^2 = 1 . \quad (16)$$

Hence, we notice, each diagonal term is a weighted sum of eigenvalues as shown by Eq. (15) with all weights summing to unity and hence we can invoke concavity again to write,

$$-\lambda_{nn} \log(\lambda_{nn}) \geq \sum_m |\langle \lambda_n | \rho_m \rangle|^2 (-\rho_m \log(\rho_m)) \quad (17)$$

This concludes our digression proof. Return to where we left off for concavity and now we simply apply this general inequality result to each of the  $\hat{\rho}_1$  and  $\hat{\rho}_2$  diagonal terms of Eq. (11) and perform the sum to get the desired concavity answer,

$$S(\hat{\rho}) \geq p_1 S(\hat{\rho}_1) + p_2 S(\hat{\rho}_2) . \quad (18)$$

(c.) Consider the separable state  $\hat{\rho}_{AB} = \hat{\rho}_A \otimes \hat{\rho}_B$ . For concreteness take  $\dim(A) = d_A$  and  $\dim(B) = d_B$  and hence the dimension of Hilbert space on which  $\hat{\rho}_{AB}$  lives is simply  $d = d_A d_B$ . Let's label the  $d$  eigenvalues of  $\hat{\rho}_{AB}$  as  $\lambda_k$  with  $k = 1, 2, \dots, d$ . Also, label the eigenvalues of  $\hat{\rho}_A$  as  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d_A$  and those of  $\hat{\rho}_B$  as  $\beta_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, d_B$ . One can now construct a bijective map which relates the  $d = d_A d_B$  eigenvalues of  $\hat{\rho}_{AB}$  with those of  $\hat{\rho}_A$  and  $\hat{\rho}_B$ ,

$$\lambda_k = \alpha_i \beta_j , \quad k \equiv (i, j) \text{ with } i = 1, 2, \dots, d_A \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, d_B . \quad (19)$$

Now, let's get to the entropy.

$$S(\hat{\rho}_{AB}) = \sum_{k=1}^d \lambda_k \log(\lambda_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_A} \sum_{j=1}^{d_B} (\alpha_i \beta_j) \log(\alpha_i \beta_j) . \quad (20)$$

We can now split the log to get,

$$S(\hat{\rho}_{AB}) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_B} \beta_j \sum_{i=1}^{d_A} \alpha_i \log(\alpha_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{d_A} \alpha_i \sum_{j=1}^{d_B} \beta_j \log(\beta_j) . \quad (21)$$

Now notice,  $S(\hat{\rho}_A) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_A} \alpha_i \log(\alpha_i)$  and  $S(\hat{\rho}_B) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_B} \beta_j \log(\beta_j)$  and also that the sum of eigenvalues of any density operator is its trace which is unity, *i.e.*  $\sum_{i=1}^{d_A} \alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^{d_B} \beta_j = 1$ , which gives us the required result,

$$S(\hat{\rho}_{AB}) = S(\hat{\rho}_A) + S(\hat{\rho}_B) . \quad (22)$$

### 3 Let's measure a GHZ! (5 points)

(a.) Let us construct the projection operators corresponding to measurement in the  $\{|\pm x\rangle\}$  basis,

$$\hat{P}_+ = |+x\rangle \langle +x| , \quad (23)$$

$$\hat{P}_- = |-x\rangle \langle -x| . \quad (24)$$

One can now easily see that this set of projection operators satisfies all the required conditions for being a *Projective Value Measurement (PVM)*. The set of projection operators are

1. Hermitian:  $\hat{P}_+^\dagger = \hat{P}_+$ ,  $\hat{P}_-^\dagger = \hat{P}_-$ ,
2. Complete:  $\hat{P}_+ + \hat{P}_- = |0\rangle \langle 0| + |1\rangle \langle 1| = \hat{\mathbb{I}}$ ,
3. Orthogonal Projectors:  $\hat{P}_+ \hat{P}_+ = \hat{P}_+$ ,  $\hat{P}_- \hat{P}_- = \hat{P}_-$ ,  $\hat{P}_+ \hat{P}_- = \hat{P}_- \hat{P}_+ = 0$
4. Positive: eigenvalues of  $\hat{P}_+$  and  $\hat{P}_-$  are 0 and 1 which are  $\geq 0$

(b.) The pre-measurement GHZ density operator is simply,

$$\hat{\rho}_0 = |\text{GHZ}\rangle \langle \text{GHZ}| = \frac{1}{2} (|000\rangle \langle 000| + |000\rangle \langle 111| + |111\rangle \langle 000| + |111\rangle \langle 111|) , \quad (25)$$

where the convention I am following labels subsystems in states and their duals as  $|ABC\rangle$  and  $\langle ABC|$ , respectively.

Okay, let's compute some projections now, but first notice the following overlaps which will be useful,

$$\langle +x|0\rangle = \langle +x|1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} , \quad (26)$$

$$\langle -x|0\rangle = -\langle -x|1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} . \quad (27)$$

The following projections can now be easily computed,

$$\hat{P}_+ \hat{\rho}_0 \hat{P}_+ = \frac{1}{4} |+x\rangle \langle +x| \otimes (|00\rangle \langle 00| + |00\rangle \langle 11| + |11\rangle \langle 00| + |11\rangle \langle 11|) , \quad (28)$$

$$\hat{P}_- \hat{\rho}_0 \hat{P}_- = \frac{1}{4} |-x\rangle \langle -x| \otimes (|00\rangle \langle 00| - |00\rangle \langle 11| - |11\rangle \langle 00| + |11\rangle \langle 11|) , \quad (29)$$

which we can write more compactly as,

$$\hat{P}_+ \hat{\rho}_0 \hat{P}_+ = \frac{1}{2} |+x\rangle \langle +x| \otimes \left( \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \left( \frac{\langle 00| + \langle 11|}{\sqrt{2}} \right) , \quad (30)$$

$$\hat{P}_- \hat{\rho}_0 \hat{P}_- = \frac{1}{2} |-x\rangle \langle -x| \otimes \left( \frac{|00\rangle - |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \left( \frac{\langle 00| - \langle 11|}{\sqrt{2}} \right) . \quad (31)$$

It's a good idea to express the first qubit, on which the PVM projectors have been applied, in the measurement basis; in this case the  $\{|\pm x\rangle\}$  basis since the outcome of such a measurement will be in the said basis. Expressing it in the standard  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$  basis is somewhat obscuring the interpretation of the PVM. Let us also compute the traces of these projections which will serve as normalization factors and probabilities as well,

$$\text{Tr}(\hat{P}_+ \hat{\rho}_0 \hat{P}_+) = \text{Tr}(\hat{P}_- \hat{\rho}_0 \hat{P}_-) = \frac{1}{2} . \quad (32)$$

This can be easily seen from Eqs. (30) and (31) since  $\text{Tr}(\hat{P}_+) = \text{Tr}(\hat{P}_-) = 1$  and also the residual states of the second and third qubits  $\left(\frac{|00\rangle \pm |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$  are normalized too. The measurement interpretation of the PVM is that with probability  $p_+ = \text{Tr}(\hat{P}_+ \hat{\rho}_0 \hat{P}_+)$ , the outcome of the measurement on the first qubit is observed to be “+x” and the post-measurement state in this case will be,

$$\hat{\rho}_+ = \frac{\hat{P}_+ \hat{\rho}_0 \hat{P}_+}{\text{Tr}(\hat{P}_+ \hat{\rho}_0 \hat{P}_+)}, \quad (33)$$

and with probability  $p_- = \text{Tr}(\hat{P}_- \hat{\rho}_0 \hat{P}_-)$ , the outcome observed it,

$$\hat{\rho}_- = \frac{\hat{P}_- \hat{\rho}_0 \hat{P}_-}{\text{Tr}(\hat{P}_- \hat{\rho}_0 \hat{P}_-)}, \quad (34)$$

Okay, now we can simply state the questions asked for in the homework.

If the post-measurement state is not known to the observer, the density operator describing the GHZ will be given by,

$$\hat{\rho}_1 = p_+ \hat{\rho}_+ + p_- \hat{\rho}_-, \quad (35)$$

$$\hat{\rho}_1 = \frac{1}{2} |+\rangle \langle +| \otimes \left( \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \left( \frac{\langle 00| + \langle 11|}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} |-\rangle \langle -| \otimes \left( \frac{|00\rangle - |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \left( \frac{\langle 00| - \langle 11|}{\sqrt{2}} \right). \quad (36)$$

On the other hand, for part (c.) with probability  $p_+ = 1/2$  we will observe the post-measurement state to be  $\hat{\rho}_+$  of Eq. (33) in which case the residual state of the second and third qubits can simply be “read-off” as,

$$|\psi_{23}^+\rangle = \left( \frac{|00\rangle + |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right). \quad (37)$$

The other possibility of the measurement outcome is observing the “-x” outcome for the first qubit with probability  $p_- = 1/2$ , in which case the GHZ state is given by  $\hat{\rho}_-$  of Eq. (34) and the residual state of the other two qubits will be,

$$|\psi_{23}^-\rangle = \left( \frac{|00\rangle - |11\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right). \quad (38)$$

It is worth pointing out here that even though we found pure residual states for the other two qubits; in general, the residual state of sub-systems on which measurement is not performed will be mixed.

## 4 Thermal Spins in a B-field (5 points)

In this question, for all our matrix representations, we will exclusively work in the  $\hat{\sigma}_z$  basis. The Hamiltonian of the spin 1/2 particle in the B-field is simply,

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\gamma B}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z \equiv \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\omega}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\omega}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (39)$$

where we have defined  $\omega = \gamma B$  for convenience. Now since the particle is a part of a thermal ensemble at temperature  $T \equiv 1/k_B \beta$  with  $k_B$  being the Boltzmann constant, its density operator is thermal,

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{\exp(-\beta \hat{H})}{\text{Tr}(\exp(-\beta \hat{H}))}. \quad (40)$$

Since we are asked to work in the  $\hat{\sigma}_z$  basis in which  $\hat{H}$  is diagonal, hence  $\exp(-\beta\hat{H})$  will be diagonal in this basis too, given by,

$$\exp(-\beta\hat{H}) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} e^{\beta\omega/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\beta\omega/2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (41)$$

whose trace is simply  $\text{Tr}(\exp(-\beta\hat{H})) = e^{\beta\omega/2} + e^{-\beta\omega/2}$  and hence,

$$\rho = \frac{1}{e^{\beta\omega/2} + e^{-\beta\omega/2}} \begin{bmatrix} e^{\beta\omega/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\beta\omega/2} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (42)$$

(b.) Now we compute the expectation values of  $\hat{\sigma}$  for the particle in this thermal ensemble. For  $\hat{\sigma}_l$ , the expectation value is given by,

$$\langle \hat{\sigma}_l \rangle = \text{Tr}(\hat{\sigma}_l \rho). \quad (43)$$

It can now be seen rather straightforwardly by matrix multiplication and taking the trace that  $\langle \hat{\sigma}_x \rangle = \langle \hat{\sigma}_y \rangle = 0$  and,

$$\langle \hat{\sigma}_z \rangle = \frac{e^{\beta\omega/2} - e^{-\beta\omega/2}}{e^{\beta\omega/2} + e^{-\beta\omega/2}} = \tanh\left(\frac{\beta\omega}{2}\right) \quad (44)$$

(c.) Now we can compute the average magnetization of  $N$  such particles in the thermal ensemble,

$$\vec{M} = \frac{N\gamma}{2} \left( \langle \hat{\sigma}_x \rangle \hat{i} + \langle \hat{\sigma}_y \rangle \hat{j} + \langle \hat{\sigma}_z \rangle \hat{k} \right), \quad (45)$$

where the expectations are the single particle expectations computed in part (b) above and  $\{\hat{i}, \hat{j}, \hat{k}\}$  are spatial unit vectors along  $x, y$  and  $z$  directions, respectively. This gives us,

$$\vec{M} = \frac{N\gamma}{2} \tanh\left(\frac{\beta\omega}{2}\right) \hat{z}. \quad (46)$$

Now we consider the high temperature or the small  $\beta$  limit and Taylor expand the magnitude of  $\vec{M}$  to linear order in  $\beta$  to get the characteristic Curie's Law  $1/T$  dependence of the magnetization,

$$M = \frac{N\gamma^2 B}{4k_B} \frac{1}{T} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{T^3}\right). \quad (47)$$